Outline
This thesis consists of four parts. Part I serves as an introduction. Part II is comprised of two case studies. Chapter 4 explores the actuality of conceptual operation during SI. Part III is an analysis of online development of concepts during SI. Part IV consists of one conclusive chapter.

Part I: Theoretical background
The three chapters that make up Part I lay the foundations for the analysis of the cognitive aspects of the interpreting process found in this study.

Chapter 1 addresses the purpose, scope, and method of the study as well as other relevant issues. The aim of this study is to provide an explicit description of conceptual operation in discourse processing during simultaneous interpreting (SI). By comparing the source text (ST) and the target text (TT) of actual SI performances, I analysed interpreters’ meaning construction during SI. The differences between ST and TT served as a means to explore interpreters’ mental activities.

I use the term of concept for a mental representation constructed as a result of utterance comprehension. I explore the roles of both linguistic and non-linguistic information in making up concepts by analysing the content, source and timing of various forms of information. Concepts in discourse processing are not completed all at once. They are thought to be developed into more elaborate concepts step by step. In order to explore this aspect of meaning construction, I focus on the online nature of discourse comprehension, the incremental process that unfolds as the interpreter processes the ST along its temporal flow, thus recovering the source speaker’s message.

Chapter 2 reviews previous research into the cognitive aspects of the interpreting process. The traditional controversy which surrounds the cognitive process of interpreting is reviewed so as to

clarify the motivation behind this study. Since Seleskovitch (1978/1998) proposed the notion of
deverbalization as an indispensable part of her three-staged model of the interpreting process, it has
become one of the most controversial topics in interpreting studies. From the mid-eighties to early
nineties, some ‘scientific-minded’ researchers denounced this notion and criticised her approach as a
personal theorisation. However, progress in pragmatics, cognitive linguistics, and cognitive
psychology provided opportunities to re-examine the theory. The meaning of an utterance does not
only consist of linguistically encoded meaning, but also of non-linguistic information (e.g. Sperber
process to elucidate the nature of mental representations constructed in an SI performance. He did
not, however, pay sufficient attention to the fluid and flexible nature of concepts in the online
development of discourse processing. Although Funayama (e.g. 2002, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007,
2008) contrived a device to explore the mental reality behind the SI performance, suggesting the
online nature of utterance comprehension, his analysis of interpreters’ conceptual operations
remained fragmentary.

This study aims to elicit the potential of this model based on actual SI performances. It is an
attempt to explore the actuality of deverbalization as an essential part of interpreting process.

Chapter 3 presents a brief but essential overview of the CC model, which is the basic theoretical
framework underpinning this study. The CC model, which is the theoretical framework used in this
study, was proposed and developed by Funayama (e.g. 1994, 2002, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008) to
describe the online development of concepts represented in utterance comprehension. This chapter
introduces the basic structure of the model and addresses the basic nature of CCs. Furthermore, the
potential of this descriptive device is demonstrated in several examples. The nature of CCs is fluid
and non-linguistic and a group of CCs can form an event CC or a property CC, which means that
CCs can form a nested structure. The CC is derived from three sources: linguistic information,
background information, and the history of CCs.

Part II: Case studies
This part consists of two chapters. First of all, Chapter 4 analyses the retention and the status of CCs
through repetitive translations for a single ST expression. A CC is a mental representation
constructed through discourse processing. This chapter examines the non-linguistic nature of the CC
and the components necessary to construct it. The non-linguistic nature of the CC examined in this
sample is an example of deverbalization as proposed by Seleskovitch (1978/1998). The resources to
construct a CC have been examined from three aspects: linguistic information, background
information and history of CCs. A case of anticipation has been examined using the same example in
order to explore parallel processing in conceptual operations. Anticipation in this SI performance is
considered to be a product of discourse comprehension based on the formulation of CCs.
Chapter 5 focuses on a more structural aspect of CCs by analysing the construction of a certain type of event CC. The construction of structured CCs contributes to the comprehension of a discourse framework. This chapter examines the comprehension of a causal event as a type of event CC. The interpreter constructs CCs step by step in the course of online discourse processing. The example performance reveals an instance of such online CC construction. The performance suggests that the nature of SI forces interpreters to produce TT expressions without sufficient information even while they are still in the process of CC construction. This chapter clarifies the specific role of contextual information in the construction of a causal event. Based on samples from an actual SI record, it analyses the function of structured event CCs in SI performances.

**Part III: Online analysis**

In this part of the study, which comprises three chapters, I attempt a comprehensive discussion of the online construction of CCs by an interpreter during an actual SI performance. The conceptual operations at work in the SI is analysed through examination of a sample SI performance, focusing on the role of contextual information in online discourse processing.

Chapter 6 examines the background information known to interpreters before the ST input from four aspects: world knowledge, knowledge about the topic, setting of the interpreting performance, and introduction of the source speech. Individual differences must be assumed concerning different interpreters’ background information, and the degree of attention given to each element during discourse processing depends on the interpreter’s cognitive status at each given moment. These variables provide an explanation for the fact that each interpreter may comprehend the ST differently, and that even the same interpreter may produce different TTs for the same ST expressions on different occasions.

Before describing the online development of CCs, Chapter 7 provides an overview of the differences observed in the sample SI performance in order to prepare clues to the analysis of the conceptual operations at work. Chapter 7 examines five types of differences between ST and TT and other relevant points in the SI performance sample, thus analysing the conceptual operations behind an SI performance. The types of differences examined in this chapter are repetition, exhibition of background information, exhibition of meta-representation with a demonstrative, construal of implicit logic and exhibition of an event CC. Using this examination, I analyse the conceptual operations behind the SI performance and the types and roles of information sources which enable it. The information sources employed for each TT expression are analysed based on the four aspects examined in Chapter 6.

On the basis of analysis in Chapter 7, the online development of CCs during the sampled performance is described in Chapter 8. The differences outlined in Chapter 7 are employed to describe the online development of CCs in order to explore the actuality of conceptual operations.
during the SI performance. All phenomena observed in this performance involve the contribution of contextual information to discourse processing. This chapter analyses types of contextual information and describes the online development of CCs by exploring the detailed contents of the information, the timing, and the role of its contribution in discourse processing.

An event CC can be derived from linguistic and non-linguistic sources. The structure of an event CC is discursive rather than being a reflection of the syntactic structure of an utterance. Once an event CC is constructed, it can be a guide for discourse comprehension.

Observations in this study suggest the existence of a prestigious CC. Though the explicit use of the CC was limited in both ST and TT, this element was anchored and played an indispensable role in processing this discourse. The role of the CC cannot be independent from the construal of event CCs. Once a topical event is comprehended, the interpreter’s operations are firmly supported by it. As long as discourse processing runs in accordance with event CCs, the production of the TT can be free from the superficial linguistic features of the ST. This analysis suggests the indispensable role of CCs in discourse processing and SI performances.

**Part IV: Conclusion**

The final part of this thesis consists of a single chapter which summarises the contribution the study makes to interpreting studies and relevant fields, and which addresses issues for future research. The major contributions made by this study are summarised in two points: Elaboration of the CC model and instantiation of its description; Explicit description of conceptual operations in SI.

First, the study elaborates on the CC model as a descriptive device for concept construction by interpreters and instantiates descriptions of online discourse processing based on the model. The nature of CCs described based on this study’s approach is summarised in three points: Incremental formulation in accordance with discourse processing; Linguistic and non-linguistic conceptual resources; The non-linguistic nature of conceptual content.

The second contribution of this study is an explicit description of conceptual operations in online discourse processing during SI performances. By closely observing actual SI performances, linguistic differences between expressions in ST and TT could be identified. Employing such differences as clues, and drawing on the CC model, interpreters’ conceptual operations during SI could be described. This description made it possible to analyse types of conceptual operations and mechanisms that cause such differences. This analysis supports the notion of deverbalization (Seleskovitch 1978/1998).

The conceptual operations examined in this study are not limited exclusively to SI performances. Rather, CCs are assumed to be generated for utterance comprehension in general. This study’s observations indicate the existence of such conceptual operations based on the differences between ST and TT in SI performances. Therefore, while the conceptual operations
examined in this study are an essential part of discourse processing necessary to re-express a message related in one language in another, these observations can also serve as a clue to explore the cognitive mechanisms of utterance comprehension in general.
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